

Standards Board for Alternative Investments Limited

7 Henrietta Street London WC2E 8PS United Kingdom www.sbai.org

Tel: +44 20 3405 9042

27 July 2022

The International Sustainability Standards Board IFRS Foundation Columbus Building 7 Westferry Circus London E14 4HD

Submitted by email: commentletters@ifrs.org

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: SBAI Response to IFRS S1 - ISSB Exposure Draft on General Sustainability-Related Disclosures

The Standards Board for Alternative Investments (SBAI)¹ welcomes the opportunity to respond to the questions in the ISSB's Exposure Draft on General Sustainability-Related Disclosures².

At the SBAI, we support efforts to develop a global baseline for reporting of climate-related disclosures to create consistency and comparability of corporate entity reporting across the industry. The SBAI stakeholders, consisting of institutional investors and alternative investment managers with over USD 7tn in assets, require better data on climate change related risks and opportunities to make well informed investment decisions and to facilitate better reporting. We support these efforts through our publications in our Responsible Investment Toolbox, helping alternative investment managers, institutional investors and regulators better understand how responsible investment considerations apply to different investment strategies. Lack of relevant data is regularly highlighted as one of the key challenges by members of the SBAI Responsible Investment Working Group, which consists of over 180 representatives from both asset managers and institutional investors.

In general, we are broadly supportive of the disclosure recommendations in the Exposure Draft. We do highlight a few key points and recommendations below:

Clarity of Scope of Applicability:

It is our understanding that these disclosure requirements have been written solely for corporate entities and that investment funds³ that produce financial statements <u>would not</u> be in scope for these disclosures. That said, the current Exposure draft refers broadly to "entities" that produce financial statements with the only explicit exception made for non-for-profit entities which might need to adapt the disclosures.

We would ask the ISSB to make clear in the published standard that these disclosures are intended for corporate entities only.



¹ https://www.sbai.org/

² https://www.ifrs.org/content/dam/ifrs/project/general-sustainability-related-disclosures/exposure-draft-ifrs-s1-general-requirements-for-disclosure-of-sustainability-related-financial-information.pdf

³ Including but not limited to mutual funds, UCITs, hedge funds and other alternative investment funds.

Barriers to Entry for Smaller Firms

It is our understanding that the expectation is that these disclosures will be audited in the same way that other information within financial statements are. Whilst this does provide comfort in the accuracy and reliability of the information this will be an additional cost to entities providing these disclosures. For many new or smaller entities this cost could be prohibitive especially at early stages of the entity's life. It is also important to note that many entities that are aiming to make a sustainability or climate-related impact are new and small companies.

We would recommend the ISSB consider an option for smaller entities (perhaps below a certain threshold) to report this information unaudited providing this is clearly disclosed.

Recommended Guidance for Asset Managers as Corporate Entities:

Per the above point, while asset managers would not be expected to complete these disclosures for investment funds, they may complete them at the level of the asset manager's corporate entity. In the Exposure Draft the ISSB cites several potential sources of guidance to assist entities with completing these disclosures. Our Responsible Investment Working Group has published industry guidance in the form of a Responsible Investment Policy Framework for asset managers which contains details of disclosures on strategy, risk management and governance of responsible investment, including sustainability, in the investment process.

We would suggest the ISSB might like to cite this as an additional piece of guidance for asset management entities completing these disclosures.

Extension to Investment Funds

Through our discussions in our Responsible Investment Working Group, we note a desire for global consistency in sustainability and climate-related disclosures. While the current Exposure Draft is aimed at corporate entities, we envision that an adapted version of this framework for investment funds could be possible in the future. We also note that the FCA's Discussion Paper on its proposed Sustainability Disclosure Regime (SDR)⁴ indicates that it would like to be at least consistent with this framework when it releases the regime for asset managers. While there are areas of overlap between disclosures a corporate entity would make and those that would be made by an investment fund, there are also many disclosures and definitions that would not be suitable. We have provided some examples of this in the appendix to this response for information, but it is not an exhaustive list. Should the ISSB decide to adapt this framework for investment funds at any point in the future, the SBAI would be happy to assist.

We strongly recommend that the current framework not be extended to Investment Funds as it is. The SBAI would be willing to aid the ISSB if they choose to adapt the framework to be suitable for investment funds.

Should you wish to discuss any elements of our response we would be more than happy to oblige. For further information please contact our Research and Content Director Maria Long (maria.long@sbai.org).

Yours Sincerely

Thomas Deinet
Executive Director
Standards Board for Alternative Investments (SBAI)



⁴ https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/discussion/dp21-4.pdf

Appendix: Examples of areas where Framework is not suitable for investment funds

We have noted above that there are many disclosures in this framework that would be suitable for an investment fund – particularly in the governance section. There are, however, disclosures and elements that would not be suitable. We have provided a non-exhaustive list of examples below that underline our recommendations that the scope of the framework be clearly defined in the published standard and that the framework is not applied to investment funds in the future in its current form.

- Investment funds do not have office buildings, energy supplies, staff, supply chains etc. in the same way that corporate entities do. Any framework would need to take account of this.
- "Enterprise Value" would not be the appropriate denominator for investment funds. Net Asset Value of the investment fund would likely be more suitable.
- The impact of sustainability on an investment fund would not be explainable in terms of "cash flows" and "value chain" different factors would need to be considered.
- The framework currently calls for the impact on future financial performance to be disclosed. In many jurisdictions there are financial promotion or marketing rules that would prohibit an investment fund from making predictions about future performance.
- Any framework for investment funds would need more clarity and guidance on the requirements for reporting on investments than is present in the current framework.
- Any framework for investment funds to include these disclosures with their financial statements would need to become effective at a later point than disclosure requirements for corporate entities. Asset managers would be dependent on these underlying disclosures to complete their own disclosures for investment funds.

We would be happy to discuss applicability to investment funds in more detail with the ISSB.

